arXiv:1511.08086v1 [math.CO] 25 Nov 2015

Domination polynomial of lexicographic product of specific graphs

Saeid Alikhani * Somayeh Jahari

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran
alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph of order n. The domination polynomial of G is the polynomial $D(G,\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} d(G,i)\lambda^i$, where $d(G,i)$ is the number of dominating sets of G of size i. We consider the lexicographic product of two specific graphs and study their domination polynomials.

Keywords: Domination polynomial; Dominating set; Lexicographic product.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C60.

1 Introduction

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a simple graph. For any vertex $v\in V$, the *open neighborhood* of v is the set $N_G(v)=\{u\in V|\{u,v\}\in E\}$ and the *closed neighborhood* is the set $N_G[v]=N_G(v)\cup\{v\}$. For a set $S\subseteq V$, the open neighborhood of S is $N_G(S)=\bigcup_{v\in S}N_G(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N_G[S]=N_G(S)\cup S$. For every vertex $v\in V(G)$, the *degree* of v is the number of edges incident with v and is denoted by $d_G(v)$. We denote the maximum degree and the minimum degree of vertices of G by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. A set $S\subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* if $N_G[S]=V$, or equivalently, every vertex in $V\backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G. For a detailed treatment of these parameters, the reader is referred to [11].

A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma(G)$ is called a γ-*set*. Let D and U be two subsets of V. The set U is called a *monitor* set of D if $D\subseteq N[U]$. The monitor number of D, denoted by $\iota(D)$, is the minimum cardinality of a monitor set of D. Set $\iota(G)=min\{\iota(D):\ D\ is\ a\ \gamma-set\ of\ G\}$. An i-*subset* of $V(G)$ is a subset of $V(G)$ with cardinality i. Let $\mathcal{D}(G,i)$ be the family of dominating sets of G which are i-subsets and let $d(G,i)=|\mathcal{D}(G,i)|$. The polynomial $D(G,x)=\sum_{i=0}^{|V(G)|}d(G,i)x^i$ is defined as *domination polynomial* of G [1, 5]. Thus $D(G,x)$ is the generating polynomial for the

*Corresponding author

number of dominating sets of G of each cardinality. Dominating sets are an important invariant of a graph and have many useful applications [13]. For more information and motivation of domination polynomial refer to [1, 5].

Although the domination polynomial has been actively studied in recent years, almost no attention has been given to the domination polynomials of some graph products. In fact the domination polynomials of binary graph operations, aside from union, join and corona, have not been widely studied (see [3, 14]).

Two graphs G and H are disjoint if $V(G) \cap V(H) = \emptyset$. The union of two disjoint graphs G and H is the graph $G \cup H$ with the vertex set $V(G \cup H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and the edge set $E(G \cup H) = E(G) \cup E(H)$. The notation nG is the short notation for the union of n copies of disjoint graphs isomorphic to G.

The join of two graphs G_1 and G_2, denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is a graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$.

For two graphs G and H, let $G[H]$ be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, such that the vertex (a, x) is adjacent to vertex (b, y) if and only if a is adjacent to b (in G) or $a = b$ and x is adjacent to y (in H). The graph $G[H]$ is the lexicographic product of G and H.

This product was introduced as the composition of graphs by Harary [9, 10]. The lexicographic product is also known as graph substitution, a name that bears witness to the fact that $G[H]$ can be obtained from G by substituting a copy H_u of H for every vertex u of G and then joining all vertices of H_u with all vertices of H_v if $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$.

Calculating the domination number $\gamma(G)$ of the graph, and determining whether $\gamma(G) \leq k$ is known to be NP-complete [7]. Obviously, the problem is more complicated when we consider the lexicographic product of two graphs. The following result is about the domination number of lexicographic of two graphs.

Theorem 1.1 [15]

(i) Let G and H be two graphs with at least two vertices. If $\gamma(H) = 1$, then $\gamma(G[H]) = \gamma(G)$.

(ii) Let G be a graph with no isolated vertex, and let H be a graph with $\gamma(H) \geq 2$. Then $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma(G[H]) \leq \gamma(G) + \iota(G)$.

The lower bound and upper bound in Theorem 1.1(ii) are sharp. Clearly, $\gamma(P_4) = 2$, $\gamma(P_6) = 2$, $\iota(P_4) = 1$, $\iota(P_6) = 2$. We have $\gamma(P_4[P_4]) = \gamma(P_4) = 2$, $\gamma(P_6[P_4]) = \gamma(P_6) + \iota(P_6) = 4$. Thus, a domination number of $P_4[P_4]$ achieves the lower bound and a domination number of $P_6[P_4]$ achieves the upper bound (see Figure 1).

In this paper, we study the domination polynomial of lexicographic product of specific graphs.

2 Main results

In this section, first we study some properties of lexicographic product of two graphs and then, we study the domination polynomial of lexicographic product of specific



Figure 1: A dominating set of $P_4[P_4]$ and $P_6[P_4]$, respectively.

graphs.

We mention some properties of lexicographical product of graphs. Note that the lexicographic product is not commutative, but it is associative and it is easily seen to have K_1 as both a left and right unit. Also the right-distributive rule, holds for all graphs G, H and K:

$$\begin{aligned}(G_1[G_2])[G_3] &\cong G_1([G_2[G_3]]),\\ K_1[G] &\cong G,\\ G[K_1] &\cong G,\\ (G \cup H)[K] &= G[K] \cup H[K].\end{aligned}$$

With respect to taking complements, we note that $\overline{G[H]} = \bar{G}[\bar{H}]$ and since $\bar{\bar{G}} = G$, we have $G[H] = \overline{\bar{G}[\bar{H}]}$. We state and prove (with new approach) the following theorem which has appeared in [8] as Proposition 10.1.

Theorem 2.1 *For a graph G and natural number $n \geq 2$,*

(ii) $G[nK_1] \cong (nK_1)[G]$ *if and only if G is totally disconnected,*

(i) $G[K_n] \cong K_n[G]$ *if and only if G is complete graph.*

Proof.

(i) Observe that $G[nK_1] \cong (nK_1)[G]$ is true, if G has no edges. Assume now that $G[nK_1] \cong (nK_1)[G]$. Evidently,

$$|E(G)|n^2 = |E(G[nK_1])| = |E(nK_1)[G]| = n|E(G)|.$$

So $|E(G)|(n^2 - n) = 0$. For $n \geq 2$, this is only possible if $|E(G)| = 0$.

(ii) We know that $\overline{G[H]} = \bar{G}[\bar{H}]$. Therefore G and K_n commute if and only if $\bar{G}$ and $\bar{K_n}$ commute. For $n \geq 2$ this is true if and only if $\overline{G}$ has no edges, or equivalently if and only if G is complete graph. □

Lemma 2.2 *For every graph G and natural numbers m and n, we have:*

(i) $(nK_1)[G] \cong nG$ *and* $K_m[K_n] \cong K_{mn}$.

(ii) $K_m[G] \cong \vee_{i=1}^{m} G$. *In particular, if* $m = 2$ *and* $G = nK_1$, *then* $K_2[nK_1] \cong K_{n,n}$.

Proof.

(i) It follows from the definition of lexicographic product of two graphs.

(ii) By the definition of lexicographic product, the graph $K_m[G]$ is the graph obtained from mG such that all vertices of copies of G are adjacent. Since $K_{n,n} \cong (nK_1) \vee (nK_1)$, we have $K_2[nK_1] \cong K_{n,n}$. □

Here, we recall the definition of friendship graphs. The friendship (or Dutch-Windmill) graph F_n is a graph that can be constructed by coalescence n copies of the cycle graph C_3 of length 3 with a common vertex [16]. See Figure 2. Domination polynomials, exploring the nature and location of roots of domination polynomials of friendship graphs has studied in [4].



Figure 2: Friendship graphs F_2, F_3, F_4 and F_n, respectively.

For any two graphs G and H, if G is an isolated vertex, then $G[H] \cong H$, and if H is an isolated vertex, then $G[H] \cong G$. Note that the right-distributive rule $(K \vee H)[G] = K[G] \vee H[G]$ holds for all graphs G, H and K. In particular, $(K_1 \vee H)[G] = G \vee H[G]$. Now, we state and prove the following theorem which is about the lexicographic product of star and friendship graph with an arbitrary graph.

Lemma 2.3 *For every graph G and natural number n,*

(i) $K_{1,n}[G] \cong G \vee nG$.

(ii) $F_n[G] \cong G \vee n(G \vee G)$.

Proof.

(i) Let u be a center of $K_{1,n}$. By the definition of lexicographic product, the graph $K_{1,n}[G]$ is the graph $(n+1)G$ together with all edges that joining all vertices of G_u with all vertices of each other copy of G. In other words, $K_{1,n}[G] \cong G \vee nG$.

(ii) Since the friendship graph F_n is the join of K_1 and nK_2, we have the result. □

To obtain the domination polynomials of lexicographic product of some graphs, we need some preliminary properties of the domination polynomial.

Theorem 2.4 [5] *If a graph G consists of k components $G_1, \ldots, G_k$, then $D(G,x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} D(G_i,x)$.*

The following theorem gives the domination polynomial of join of some graphs.

Theorem 2.5 [1] *Let $G_1, \ldots, G_k$ be graphs of orders $n_1, \ldots, n_k$, respectively. Then*

$$D(\vee_{i=1}^{k} G_i, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Big((1+x)^{n_j} - 1\Big)\Big((1+x)^{\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} n_i} - 1\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} D(G_i, x).$$

The following results gives the domination polynomials of lexicographic product with complete graphs.

Theorem 2.6 *For every graph G and every natural number m and n,*

(i) $D((nK_1)[G], x) = (D(G,x))^n$.

(ii) $D(K_m[K_n], x) = (1+x)^{mn} - 1$.

(iii) $D(K_m[G], x) = \Big((1+x)^{|V(G)|} - 1\Big) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Big((1+x)^{(m-j)|V(G)|} - 1\Big) + mD(G,x)$.

Proof.

(i) By Lemma 2.2(i), $D((nK1)[G], x) = D((nK1), D(G,x))$. Since $D(nK_1, x) = x^n$, we have the result.

(ii) It is easy to see that by Lemma 2.2(i), $D(K_m[K_n], x) = D(K_m, D(K_n, x))$. Since $D(K_t, x) = (1+x)^t - 1$, we have the result.

(iii) Using Lemma 2.2(iii) and Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\vee_{i=1}^{m} G, x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Big((1+x)^{|V(G)|} - 1\Big)\Big((1+x)^{\sum_{i=j+1}^{m} |V(G)|} - 1\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} D(G,x) \\ &= \Big((1+x)^{|V(G)|} - 1\Big) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Big((1+x)^{(m-j)|V(G)|} - 1\Big) + mD(G,x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we have the following theorem which is about the domination polynomial of lexicographic product with star and friendship graphs.

Theorem 2.7 *(i)* $D(K_{1,n}[G], x) = \Big((1+x)^{|V(G)|} - 1\Big)\Big((1+x)^{n|V(G)|} - 1\Big) + D(G,x) + D(G,x)^n$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} D(F_n[G],x) &= \Big((1+x)^{|V(G)|}-1\Big)\Big((1+x)^{2n|V(G)|}-1\Big)+D(G,x) \\ &\quad +\Big(\big((1+x)^{|V(G)|}-1\big)^2+2D(G,x)\Big)^n. \end{aligned}$$

For some times, we thought that $D(G[H],x)=D(G,D(H,x)-1)$ is true [2] (similar to independence domination polynomial of a graph [12]), but Theorem 2.6 show that this formula is not true. Note that in [3, 6] it has proved that $D(G[K_n],x)=D(G,D(K_n,x))$, which is an extension of Theorem 2.6(ii).

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the domination polynomial of lexicographic product of two specific graphs, but for two arbitrary graphs the problem is open yet. Until now all attempts to find a formula for $D(G[H],x)$ failed.

References

[1] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 31 (2010) 1714-1724.

[2] S. Alikhani, Some new results on domination roots of a graph, *Electr. Notes. Discrete Math.* 43 (2013) 425–430.

[3] S. Alikhani, On the domination polynomial of some graph operations, *ISRN Combin.*, Volume 2013, Article ID 146595.

[4] S. Alikhani, J.I. Brown, S. Jahari, On the domination polynomials of friendship graphs, *FILOMAT*, to appear. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2092`.

[5] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph, *Ars Combin.*, vol. 114 (2014) pp. 257–266.

[6] J.I. Brown and J. Tufts, On the roots of domination polynomials, *Graphs Combin.* 30 (2014), 527–547. doi: `10.1007/s00373-013-1306-z.`

[7] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.

[8] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Handbook of product graphs second edition *Taylor & Francis Group*, NewYork, 2011.

[9] F. Harary, On the group of the composition of two graphs. *Duke Math.* J., 26,29–36 (1959).

[10] F. Harary, Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1969).

[11] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, P. J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs,*Marcel Dekker*, NewYork, 1998.

[12] C.A. Hickman, Roots of chromatic and independence polynomials. Ph.D. Thesis, Dalhouse University (2001).

[13] J.N. Hooker, R.S. Garfinkel, C.K. Chen, Finite dominating sets for network location problems, *Oper. Res.* 39, (1991) 100-118.

[14] T. Kotek, J. Preen and P. Tittmann, Domination polynomials of graph products, Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.1475`.

[15] X. Zhang, J. Liu and J. Meng, Domination in lexicographic product graphs, *Ars Combin.* 101 (2011) 251-256.

[16] `http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DutchWindmillGraph.html`